

**MONTHLY INSPECTION FORM**  
ALASKAN COPPER WORKS, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

Month: January Date: 1/30/13 Time: 11:30am Weather Conditions: cloudy, sprinkling, 40's F

**MONTHLY INSPECTION** In accordance with Permit Condition S7, qualified personnel shall conduct and document visual inspections of the site each month. Each inspection shall include observations made at stormwater sampling locations and areas where stormwater associated with industrial activity is discharged off site, or discharged to waters of the state, or to a storm sewer system that drains to waters of the state. Record the results of each inspection on this form and keep the form on site for Ecology review.

If conducted during a storm event, inspect stormwater discharge for evidence of pollutants entering the drainage system. Check for oil sheen, floating debris, discoloration, turbidity, and odor. Record observations here:

(Inspection locations: CB331707, CB330001, CB330102, and CB SW of Bldg 2958) CB330001: oyster shell and fabric filter full of dirt/solids.  
pavement clean, no odor, no sheen, minor trash.

Or, if conducted during a non-storm event, check for the presence of illicit discharges such as domestic wastewater, noncontact cooling water, or process wastewater. Groundwater is not considered an illicit discharge. If an illicit discharge is discovered, the Permittee shall notify Ecology within seven days and eliminate the illicit discharge within 30 days. Record observations here:

Assess all BMPs that have been implemented paying special attention to the following (check BMPs inspected):

- ☒ Catch basin insert filters inspected and cleaned or replaced as necessary.
- ☒ Liquids stored outdoors are covered or have secondary containment.
- ☒ Covers placed over waste dumpsters and storage containers.
- ☒ Paved areas swept clean.
- ☒ Vehicles and Equipment (no major leaks). ☒ Other BMPs observed? List here: observed rain barrels, rain gardens, and cleanway units. observed oyster shell BMP. All appear to be functioning properly.

1. Do the BMPs listed above appear to be effective and functioning adequately and with no observable deviations from the BMP descriptions as described in the SWPPP (Yes) No?
2. Do the site conditions including potential pollutant sources appear to be consistent with the facility assessment and site map contained in the SWPPP (Yes) No?

[If the answer to questions 1 or 2 were no, explain here. Include, if applicable, the locations of BMPs that need maintenance, the reason maintenance is needed and a schedule for maintenance, as well as the locations where additional or different BMPs are needed and the rationale for the additional or different BMPs.]

recommend replacement of oyster shell and catch basin fabric filter at CB330001.

**COMPLIANCE STATEMENT:** In the judgment of the person identified below as Inspector, the Alaskan Copper Facility is in ☒ **COMPLIANCE** OR ☐ **NON-COMPLIANCE\*** (check one) with the terms and conditions of the SWPPP and the Permit. In the judgment of the person identified below as Facility Representative, the Facility is in ☐ **COMPLIANCE** OR ☐ **NON-COMPLIANCE\*** (check one) with the terms & conditions of the SWPPP and the Permit. \*If non-compliance, the Permittee shall prepare reports of non-compliance in accordance with the requirements of Condition S9.E of the Permit; and in addition, include as part of this inspection, a summary report and a schedule of implementation of the remedial actions that the Permittee plans to take if the site inspection indicates that the site is out of compliance. The remedial actions taken must meet the requirements of the SWPPP and the permit.

**CERTIFICATION:** I certify that this report is true, accurate, and complete, to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name of inspector (1): Rosemary Trimmer Title 1/30/13  
Signature of inspector (1): Rosemary Trimmer Date Staff Environmental Specialist  
Name of Facility Representative (2): \_\_\_\_\_ Title \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Facility Representative (2): \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

(1): As acknowledged by Ecology's Detailed Response to Comments Fact Sheet, APPENDIX C Addendum Part TWO, the certification and signature of the site inspector "may be limited by several factors including incomplete information (e.g., DMR compliance, etc...)". Therefore, by implication, certification and signature by the site inspector does not guarantee site compliance, nor does it imply site inspector liability if non compliance is later determined for the site.

(2) In lieu of Certification and signature of the person described in Condition G2.A of the Permit, a duly authorized representative of the facility, in accordance with Condition G.2.B, may also certify and sign this inspection form.